

### REMARKS

The present application has been amended in response to the Examiner's Office Action to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant, by the amendments presented above, has made a concerted effort to present claims which clearly define over the prior art of record, and thus to place this case in condition for allowance.

In the Office Action, the objected to claims 6 and 10 as being in improper form and for providing insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation "the supplementary rail". Applicant has amended these claims such that each depends solely from claim 1, which corrects the improper claim dependency and provides antecedent basis for the mentioned limitation. As such, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner's objections be withdrawn.

In addition, the Examiner rejects claims 3-10 citing United States Patent Nos. 6,403,935 (Kochman et al.) and 6,814,889 (O'Grady et al.) and Applicant's admitted prior art. As each of these claims now depends from allowable claim 1, it is requested that the Examiner's rejection be withdrawn.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Should the present claims not be deemed adequate to effectively define the patentable subject matter, the Examiner is respectfully urged to call the undersigned attorney of record to discuss the claims in an effort to reach an agreement toward allowance of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 8, 2009

By: \_\_\_\_\_



Richard A. Giangiori, Reg. No. 24,284  
James R. Foley, Reg. No. 39,979  
TREXLER, BUSINELL, GIANGIORI,  
BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD.  
105 W. Adams Street, 36th Floor  
Chicago, Illinois 60603  
(312) 704-1890

AD6519.WPD